

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

LTR

8

F. Burns

01/18/02

3643

In re Application of:

John E. Nemire

Serial No.: 09/079,814

Filed: 05/16/98

For: Fishing Lure System

RECEIVED

JAN 16 2002

GROUP 3600

Group Art Unit: 3643

Examiner: Kurt Rowan

Att'y Docket No.: P98293

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231


Dear Sir:

Interview Summary

After the filing of applicant's response to the first office action and several scheduling phone calls (beginning on 7-23-01) with the Examiner, it was agreed to hold the interview on 9-18-01. At that time, the Examiner called the applicant's attorney. Through his attorney, the applicant asked the Examiner if the Examiner had any comments about the applicant's 24-page amendment and argument response. After the Examiner admitted he had not yet read the response, applicant argued one-way the elements of the response as stated in the response, but without the "give and take" which applicant desired. Obviously, no agreement was reached. At the end of the interview, applicant requested the Examiner to call back before another action to "finish" the interview if the case were not sent to issue (the right to file affidavits, etc., would be restricted were the Examiner to make a hurried action final, etc.). The Examiner agreed to so call back.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 18, 2001


Martin L. Stoneman (18,147)
3113 North 3rd Street
Phoenix, AZ 85012
(602) 263-9200
Attorney For Applicant

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 on October 18, 2001.

Name of applicant, assignee, or
Registered Representative:

Martin L. Stoneman (18,147)

Date of Sig. 10/18/2001

Signature: 